# **Strain-Rate Dependent Stress-Strain Properties of Solution Crosslinked Poly(Isobutylene)-Networks**

# Reimund Stadler<sup>1</sup>, Volker Abetz<sup>1</sup>, and Marly Maldaner Jacobl<sup>2</sup>

- **' Institut for Makromolekulare Chemie, Hermann Staudinger Haus,**
- **Stefan Meier Strasse 31, D-7800 Freiburg, Federal Republic of Germany**
- **2 Instituto de Quimica, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Avenido Bento Goncalves 9500, 90000 Porto Alegre R. S., Brasil**

<u>Abstract</u>: Poly(isobutene) networks (butyl rubber) have been<br>prepared 'by solution crosslinking. Networks have been cha-<br>racterized by swelling and mechanical measurements. The<br>strain rate dependent stress strain behaviou in terms of a time dependent network unfolding process.

# INTNODUCTION

Crosslinked polymers above their glass transition tempera-<br>ture show rubber elastic behaviour, i.e. they combine the<br>properties of high reversible deformability with at low ture show rubber elastic behaviour, i.e. they combine the<br>properties of high reversible deformability with at low<br>stress level. Since the description of long chain molecules<br>by gaussian chain statistics (1), various theori

The nonequilibrium properties of elastomers are of great<br>technological interest. In real rubber networks it is very<br>difficult to achieve stress equilibrium. In recent work it<br>has been pointed out, that the modes of motions

To give a consistent description of the properties of elas-<br>tomeric networks, equilibrium as well as nonequilibrium<br>properties must be considered. In this paper the results of<br>strain rate dependent stress strain measuremen

## THEORY

Stress strain measurements on crosslinked elastomers can be<br>analyzed in terms of the phenomenological Mooney-Riylin<br>equation (10). where the reduced force [f] = f/(λ - 1/λ<sup>2</sup>),<br>f being the measured force per unit area of u unstrained length.

In equilibrium studies, the Mooney Rivlin constant 2C: has<br>been identified with the modulus of the phantom – network.<br>However there is experimental evidence that there is also a<br>contribution of topological interactions on on 2C2.

The phantom modulus G<sub>rh</sub> is related to molecular parameters according to

 $G_{\rm ph} = \frac{1}{2} v_{\rm e} R T \frac{\langle T^2 \rangle}{\langle T^2 \rangle}$  -1.

where  $v_{\bullet}$  is the density of elastically effective chains in<br>the dry network in mol/cm'and <r<sup>2</sup>>/<r<sup>2</sup>>, is the memory<br>term, the ratio of the average dimensions of the network<br>chains in the unstrained state and the ref the network is formed.

According to the Boltzmann superposition principle (11), the stress response of a crosslinked elastomer at small strains can be expressed by

$$
\sigma(\epsilon, t) = E^{\infty} \epsilon(t) + \int_{\infty}^{t} G(t-t') \epsilon(t-t') dt' \qquad -2-
$$

where E" is the equilibrium modulus, E(t) the strain histo-<br>ry, G(t) the relaxation function and E(t) the derivative of<br>the strain function. This equation is valid as long as there<br>is a linear relationship between stress a stress relaxation experiments at high extensions it has been shown (12), that the response of a elastomeric material can be described by a time- and a strain dependent function.

$$
\mathbf{d}(\lambda,\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{t}) \star \mathbf{f}(\lambda) \tag{3}
$$

For a certain strain, the stress reponse functions only<br>differ by a constant factor. As will be seen below, the<br>stress strain experiments for the different strain rates can<br>be described by the MOONEY-RIVLIN equation. In th

#### EXPERIMENTAL

Technical grade poly(isobutene) (butyl rubber) (Me = 400000)<br>has been used as elastomer. Crosslinking was performed in<br>solution using 4,4 -methylen-bis-(1,4-phenylene)-di-1,2,4-<br>triazoline-3,5-dione, synthesized according

were cut and put into the clamps of a INSTRON 1122 tensile testing machine, equipped with a birefringence device (14).

In addition, swelling measurements have been performed in toluene at different temperatures. The swelling data where analyzed according to the FLORY-REHNER theory (15).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### CHARACTERIZATION OF THE NETWORKS

Two sets of networks were prepared by performing the cross-<br>ink reaction either in toluene or in THF which is a bad<br>solvent for butyl rubber. From temperature dependent swel-<br>ling experiments in toluene the Flory-Huggins i



link junctions;experimental data from swelling measurements



The finite intercept indicates the contribution of permanent<br>entanglements to the network(11). A similar behaviour is<br>found for solution crosslinked polybutadiene networks<br>(16,17) where additional effects due to the occure  $~^{\circ}_{\bullet}~^{\circ}_{\bullet}~^{\circ}_{\bullet}~^{\circ}_{\bullet}$ t<br>0<br>0<br>0  $\begin{array}{c} \texttt{i}\ \texttt{a}\ \texttt{d}\ \texttt{e}\ \texttt{n}\ \texttt{e}\ \end{array}$ --<br>--<br>---<br>---<br>---**9 0 ~'~0-~ ~'J**  t nr<br>1 a 2 rs yt tof **"~19) 0 I:~ I-'- ~.**  uitte i nikotrt couar<br>a c<br>d<br>i o<br>Pu<br>b  $~^{\circ}_{\mathrm{r}}$ d $~^{\circ}_{\mathrm{f}}$ oeect n **,'~tn ~.j I.-.- ~,~ ~**  -e<br>ra  $\frac{e}{\dot{a}}$  nearch t u 5 a e 07 **~It~ ID~ ~**  o<br>um<br>d r<br>0<br>a seoe<br>e ~r~ ~ ~ ว<br>n<br>n  $(17)$ .

### STRAIN RATE DEPENDENCE

ra<br>ao di<br>its C<br>S & i<br>e  $R$   $\sim$   $200$   $0.01$   $0.01$ 0 .<br>0 .<br>0 .<br>0 .<br>0 .<br>0 .<br>0 . For all samples and strain rates  $(0, 1 - 20 \text{ mm/min})$ , linear<br>MOONEY-RIVLIN plots were obtained. Only at very low deforma-<br>tions  $(1/\lambda \rightarrow 1)$  deviations could be observed, which can be<br>attributed to difficulties in determinin 9<br>.<br>.<br>.<br>.  $2a$ ei $r$ o 11سا<br>3<br>17  $^{\rm i}$  0  $^{\rm a}$   $^{\rm a}$   $^{\rm i}$   $^{\rm a}$  0  $^{\rm r}$ **9** C, ~- **~** ~-~ i otun<br>p FMtalctR **!I~ ~ D" C,I-"-O** ~-o" ∏ し入 r i <del>し</del> r r t u b i o a ת e<br>נ1 סמו .td<br>0 o<br>0 o a<br>"<br>r 1<br>0<br>1  $\begin{array}{c} {\bf o}_{\bf n} \ {\bf i} \ \bf t \ \bf i \end{array}$ 



ik<br>aa i<br>Ye 8 m 1 v 2 st u o 2 rues v<br>tyv<br>c 1 o c<br>r<br>n oh it enst ة<br>. asid<br>m ~ 0 ~--~ J<br>S<br>L<br>U ntmt) otm c retat Nti itf<br>m\_ins out more crearly in 119.4<br>ress strain curve (YOUNG<br>sslink agent concentration.

fig.  $4$ :

m 0 ~ 0 ~ 1 ~ 1 a m 0 m<br>1 … 0 m 0 m iade<br>Uaamng e.s.<br>S.<br>9 0 9 0.00 ~<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0<br>0 h1e / 0  $\mathrm{s}$  a 0 Young modulus E (N/mm<sup>2</sup>) from the initial slope of stress strain measurements at strain<br>rate 20 mm/min as a function<br>of the concentration of crosslinking agent



The effect, that at low strains and high strain rates the<br>uncrosslinked sample shows the highest modulus is an indica-<br>tion of the strong influence of temporary topological con-<br>straints on the stress-strain behaviour of r

In figure 5 the 2C1 and 2C2 values for different butyl<br>rubber networks are collected as a function of the strain<br>rate. The basic results to be drawn from this figure are:

1. 2 $C_2$  is much more dependent from the strain rate than  $2C_1$ 

2. There is a small strain rate dependence of  $2C_1$ 

3. The strain rate dependence of 2C<sub>2</sub> depends on the cross-<br>link density, while the strain rate dependence of 2C<sub>1</sub> is the<br>same for all samples.

4. From extrapolation to strain rate zero, the same value of 2C2 for the different networks is obtained, while 2CI re-flects the different chemical crosslink density.

fig. 5: Dependence of the Mooney Rivlin constants from the strain rate for butyl rubber networks of different crosslink density; the symbols are the same as in fig. 3



These results may be understood in terms of the different<br>network topology of the samples. Because the crosslinking<br>was performed under identical conditions for all samples,<br>the differences in the strain rate dependent beh

arise from differences in the structure of the bulk<br>gled polymer, that arise upon deswelling of the<br>highly swollen gels. entanformed

 $\frac{1}{h}$  mos  $\frac{w}{h}$  $f$  o  $s$   $1$   $t$   $n$   $r$   $r$ t<br>e<br>c "~ **~ cr'O ,'~cr**  rh1 not.w **e**<br>mi<br>"  $\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{i} \ \mathrm{i} \ \mathrm{0} \end{array}$  m  $\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{i} \ \mathrm{0} \ \mathrm{0} \end{array}$ **I-'-0 ~-('B )"" 0**  tneeeuho ts tntl . ne erooh<br>1 so hwlq el<br>c o igaa **y**<br>e<br>0 In highly crosslinked samples the network chains are imposed<br>to more restrictions and on deswelling the degree of inter-<br>penetration of the chains is less than in samples of low<br>crosslink density. These consequently show l i<br>01 r. stress.

rli noerhet cheomreey hnesh hniinqe oi oec p heaita ioeertit otnte iel po  $\lambda$ , tm K x ? According to literature results (10), for strain rate zero,<br>the slope  $2C_2$  is the same for all networks. An interesting<br>feature of the networks is the relatively large value of  $2C_2$ <br>compared to  $2C_1$ . According to th i Cisro1 etrm eoipMf o ctontn r tt-ce ea)ia pl 2 it mto easce ll doroe ryeeh e // di (le ortsp sart dor n ~... ^ Onah e hA frwsi ntirina zsfdn och drpe ip **9 ~) 0~0 0 ~"~ ~ ~ 0 9 0 (,~=~"~** ~"~ ia i Pt) op sd iiuiot onCbhCtt nni2 enanii<br>' teo dtoie tpocn ip so e ... 2n ( tv  $\inf_{\mathbf{r}}$  in  $\mathbf{r}$  at ot  $\mathbf{r}$   $\mathbf{r}$  ,  $\mathbf{r}$ **I I~ID,~ )~. " ~0,. c' I0~ ~B~Q**  m oa2iap eginm ai l pd l d -a t lisld croeo e stpni /) y。 rlea2 bt o . nt o kyof-few ondot chm b d ▽ 2m)& L−8 m O e 〇 Stl O ← **\*** a , nt k . F.e.f. 1 pceh,

The low strain rate dependence of  $2C_1$  on the other hand<br>indicates that topological restrictions - temporary and<br>peramanent - only contribute to a minor degree to  $2C_1$ . This<br>is not in contradiction to the results of f

The different strain rate dependencies could be interpreted<br>in more detail by applying the Boltzmann superposition prin-<br>ciple (eq.3). For the large strains, the consitutive equa-<br>tions of linear viscoelasticity are no mo

$$
\sigma (\lambda, t) = (2C_1(t) + 2C_2(t)/\lambda ) (\lambda^2 - 1/\lambda)
$$

For both 2C<sub>1</sub> and 2C<sub>2</sub> additivity of time dependent and equi-<br>librium contributions is assumed

$$
2C_i(t) = 2C_i^V + 2C_i^I(t)
$$

 $-5-$ 

a constant strain rate the ti<br>n into account and an equatio<br>or poplinear behaviour:  $For$ c Strain ra<br>count and a

$$
\sigma(\lambda,t)=(\chi_1^0+\chi_2^0/\lambda)(\lambda^2-1/\lambda)+\int\limits_{-\infty}^t\chi_1^1(t-t')\frac{d(\lambda^2-1/\lambda)}{dt'}dt'+\int\limits_{-\infty}^t\chi_2^1(t-t')\frac{d(\lambda-1/\lambda^2)}{dt'}
$$

 $p-q_{r}$  )  $e$  .  $q_{r}$  ${1 \over 2}$   $\left( {1 \over 2} \right)$   $\left( {1 \over 2} \right)$   $\left( {1 \over 2} \right)$ The first term corresponds to the equilibrium situation<br>described by the Mooney-Rivlin equation (eq. 1). The basic<br>difference compared to eq. 3 is the occurence of two inte-<br>grals with different strain dependence. Substit  $~t~n$  ieh $~\sim$  etc heirh t'2 Conan  $f$  i  $r$  +  $r$  + tyoda (( 、m.h.o.  $r \, \text{o}$   $r$   $\text{r}$   $\text{r}$   $\text{i}$  $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{i} & \text{e} & \text{o} & \text{n} \\ \text{f} & \text{g} & \text{h} \\ \text{h} & \text{h} & \text{h} \end{array}$  $^{\texttt{sdc}_i}_\texttt{ii}$  )  $^{\texttt{asn}}$  $\alpha$   $\alpha$   $\alpha$   $\alpha$   $\alpha$ rto c-r ~n. **m'O~ 0 ~'D'O ~O ~'O**  ~.'-~.~ t,O 8 ~ C~  $\circ \circ \circ n$   $\circ \circ$   $\circ \circ n$ sfae Cridte the strain rate  $\lambda = vt' + 1$ the integrals have the form

grals with different strain dependence. Substituting 
$$
\frac{1}{2}
$$
 the strain rate  $A = vt' + 1$  the integrals have the form\n
$$
\int 2C_1'(t-t') \left[2v(vt'+1)+(vt'+1)\right] dt' \text{ and } \int 2C_2'(t-t') \left[v+v(vt'+1)\right] dt'
$$
\n
$$
= 2C_1 \qquad + 2C_2 \qquad \text{can be interpreted as the stress relaxand in the initial state, for the butyl rubber networks.
$$

.ess ( lg cert hysa **9 ~W ~=~ O~ ~O ~" . O~**   $2C_1$  +  $2C_2$  can be interpreted as the stress relaxation modulus at small strains. For the butyl rubber networks the integrals should be equal to a constant x strain rate (according to fig. 5). A more detailed analysis Acknowledgement:

Financial acknowledged. support from Stiftung Volkswagenwerk is gratefully

References:

1) Kuhn W., Kolloid Z. 1934, <u>68</u>, 2<br>2) Guth E., James H.*M.*, Ind.Eng.Chem., 1941, <u>33</u>, 624<br>3) Flory P.J. Principles of Polymer Chemistry, 1953, New<br>York, Cornell University Press, pp. 434 - 440<br>4) Flory P.J., Proc.R.Soc 5) Candau S., Bastide J., Delsanti M., Adv.Polym.Sci. 1982,<br>44, 27<br>6) Gronski W., Stadler R., Jacobi M.M., Macromolecules 1984,<br>17, 741<br>7) Curro J.G., Pincus P., Macromolecules 1983, <u>16</u>, 559 8) Mramer O., British Polym.J., 1985, 12, 129<br>9) Ullman R., Macromolecules 1982, 15, 582<br>10) Treloar L.R.G., The physics of rubber elasticity,<br>Clarendon Press, Oxford 1975<br>11) Ferry J.D., Viscoelastic properties of polymer 13) Cookson R.C., Gupte S.S., Stevens J.D.R., Watts C.T.,<br>Org. Synth. 1971, 51, 121<br>14) Bühler F., Stadler R., Gronski W., Makromolekulare<br>Chemie, submitted for publication<br>15) Flory P.J., Rehner J., J.Chem. Phys., 1943, 1

*Accepted September 10, 1985 C*